Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/781,857	ERDOGAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shi K. Li	2633	
All Participants:	Status of Application: Response to non-final		
(1) <u>Shi K. Li</u> .	(3)		
(2) Wendy W. Koba.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 May 2005	Time: <u>2:55 p.m.</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 18 Prior art documents discussed:	•		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN amendment for the claim to make it clear and to refer the property.		T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview	
Skikici			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)	